UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Melvin Atkins	
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. C07-05524 SI
	CTIPLE ATION AND EPROPOSED!
V. City of Petaluma, et al.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met and confollowing stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8	inferred regarding ADR and have reached the and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the following	ADR process:
Court Processes:	
Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.	
✓ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	(ADR L.R. 3)
(Note: Parties who believe that an early settleme appreciably more likely to meet their needs than ADR phone conference and may not file this for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16	any other form of ADR, must participate in an n. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
Private Process:	
Private ADR (please identify prod	ess and provider)
referring the case to an ADR proc	·
other requested deadline	
Dated: 1/18/08	Charles A. Bonner
	Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 1/18/08	Tricia L. Hynes Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) Mediation Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session
90 days from the date of this order.
other

IT	IS	SO	ORDERED.

Dated:

Suran Illaton

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE